UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

Case No: 8:20-cv-1472-KKM-TGW

JEN AUSTIN, Individually and AUSTINMARKETING, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

METRO DEVELOPMENT GROUP, LLC, JOHN RYAN, an Individual, 301 CYPRESS CREEK, LLC; CYPRESS CREEK 2, LLC; EPPERSON CLUB, LLC; EPPERSON RANCH, LLC; NORTH BROOK HOLDINGS, LLC, WATERLEAF, LLC; GOLDENRANCH PROPERTY, LLC; DUNE FL LAND I LLC; DUNE FB, LLC; GTIS I VGC, LP; CR PASCO DEVELOPMENT CO, LLC; HAWK LAND INVESTORS NEW, LLC; DUNE FB DEBT, LLC; DUNE FL LAND I SUB, LLC; GTIS METRO DG, LLC; HAWK HOLDINGS, LLC;

-	Detend	iants.		

ORDER

Before the Court is Defendants Dune FL Land I Sub, LLC,¹ and Dune FB Debt LLC's Motion for Entry of Final Judgment Pursuant to Rule 58(d). (Doc. 85). Defendants assert that the Court's Order dated December 29, 2020, (Doc. 75), (Order)

¹ Defendants' Motion asks for relief on behalf of Dune Land Sub I, LLC, which is not a party to this lawsuit. Undersigned construes this as a motion on behalf of Dune FL Land I Sub, LLC.

denied all relief sought against them, so judgment should be entered under Federal Rule

of Civil Procedure 54(b)(1)(c).

Defendants' requested relief is not warranted for several reasons. First, there is

no Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(b)(1)(c). Under Local Rule 3.01, a motion must

include "a statement of the basis of the request," to which an accurate reference of the

governing rule is essential. But even under Rule 54(b), which governs the entry of final

judgment where there is more than one claim, Defendants' requested relief is

unwarranted. Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b) ("When an action presents more than one claim for

relief..., the court may direct entry of a final judgment as to one or more, but fewer

than all, claims or parties only if the court expressly determines that there is no just reason for

delay." (emphasis added)). The Amended Complaint alleges that Metro Development

Group, LLC, and the other defendants are so closely related as to be considered a single

entity and jointly liable. (Doc. 21, at 17–19). There are astounding questions of liability,

and Defendant is not entitled to an entry of judgment at this time.

Accordingly, Defendants' Motion for Entry of Final Judgment, (Doc. 85), is

DENIED without prejudice.

ORDERED in Tampa, Florida, on February 15, 2021.

Kathryn Kimball Mizelle

United States District Judge

2